

Strong WQO phase transitions¹

Preliminary version

L. Gordeev, A. Weiermann

Tübingen-Utrecht

gordeew@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de, weierman@math.uu.nl

Extended abstract

1 Summary

We investigate phase transitions for well-quasi-ordering (also referred to as *well-partial-ordering*, abbr.: *wpo*) results with respect to nested finite sequences and nested finite trees under the homeomorphic embedding with symmetrical gap condition. We consider three *wpo* spaces:

1. nested finite sequences,
2. finite trees labeled by nested finite sequences,
3. nested finite trees.

With every *wpo* \mathcal{W} in question we correlate a natural PA-extension T (below $\Pi_1^1\text{TR}_0$), that proves the corresponding 2-order sentence $\text{WPO}(\mathcal{W})$. Furthermore, we consider the appropriate parametrized 1-order *slow well-partial-ordering* sentence $\text{SWP}(\mathcal{W}, \dots, x)$ with x ranging over computable reals and actually compute a real number λ and prove that the following hold:

1. if $x < \lambda$ then $\text{SWP}(\mathcal{W}, \dots, x)$ is provable in PA,
2. if $x > \lambda$ then $\text{SWP}(\mathcal{W}, \dots, x)$ is not provable in T .

Such (uniquely determined) λ is called *phase transition* for $\text{SWP}(\mathcal{W}, \dots, x)$. In limit cases we replace computable reals r by computable functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and prove analogous theorems. These results strengthen familiar Kruskal-Friedman-Gordeev-Kriz *wpo* theorems and Weiermann's phase transitions concerning Kruskal-Friedman-Schütte-Simpson cases.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Partial and linear well orderings

By \sqsubseteq and \leq we denote partial and linear countable well orderings (abbr.: *wpo* and *wo*), respectively. A *wo* $\mathcal{O} = (W, \leq)$ is called a *linearization* of a *wpo*

¹Research supported by NWO grant 03-721

$\mathcal{W} = (W, \trianglelefteq)$ iff $(\forall x, y \in W) (x \trianglelefteq y \rightarrow x \leq y)$. A wpo $\mathcal{W} = (W, \trianglelefteq)$ is called *enumerated* iff it is supplied with a bijection, also called *enumeration*, $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow W$. For any enumerated wpo $\mathcal{W} = (W, \nu, \trianglelefteq)$ we fix its lexicographical linearization $\mathcal{W}_\nu = (W, \leq_\nu)$ that is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} W \times W \ni x \leq_\nu y : \Leftrightarrow & (\forall i \in \mathbb{N}) (\nu(i) \trianglelefteq x \longleftrightarrow \nu(i) \trianglelefteq y) \vee (\exists i \in \mathbb{N}) \\ & (\nu(i) \not\trianglelefteq x \wedge \nu(i) \trianglelefteq y \wedge (\forall j < i) (\nu(j) \trianglelefteq x \longleftrightarrow \nu(j) \trianglelefteq y)) \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Partial orderings on labeled sequences and trees

Definition 1 A labeled finite tree T is embeddable with symmetrical gap condition into a labeled finite tree T' (abbr.: $T \trianglelefteq T'$) iff there is a homeomorphic embedding $h : T \rightarrow T'$ such that $(\forall x \in T) (x \leq h(x))$ and $\min_{\leq} (x, x') \leq y$ holds for any two neighbors x, x' in any path $P \subset T$ and any $y \in h(P) \subset T'$. Here \leq stands for the underlying wo on the set of labels occurring in T, T' . Note that \trianglelefteq is a wpo. 1-D trees, i.e. trees without branchings, are called labeled sequences. Embedding of labeled finite sequences is an obvious 1-D specialization of general definition for trees. All finite structures in question are supplied with natural enumerations (ν) and norm functions ($\#$).

Remark 2 Without loss of generality we can just as well replace vertex-labeled trees by the corresponding edge-labeled trees.

2.3 Nested sequences and trees

By SEQ^d we denote the set of nested finite sequences, i.e. finite sequences, finite sequences labeled by finite sequences, etc., where d stands for the depth of nesting in question. By \trianglelefteq_d^1 and \leq_d^1 we denote the corresponding embedding relation and its linearization, respectively, which are defined by simultaneous recursion on d . Thus $\text{SEQ}^1 \cong \mathbb{N}$ and SEQ^2 corresponds to the set of finite sequences with labels from \mathbb{N} .

By TS^d , \trianglelefteq_d^2 and \leq_d^2 we denote the set of finite trees with labels from SEQ^d , the corresponding embedding relation and its linearization, respectively.

By TT^d we denote the set of nested finite trees, i.e. finite trees, finite trees labeled by finite trees, etc., where d stands for the depth of nesting in question; the corresponding wpo \trianglelefteq_d^3 and wo \leq_d^3 are defined by simultaneous recursion on d . In particular $\text{TT}^0 = \text{TS}^0$ is the set of plain (unlabeled) finite trees.

3 Basic results

For any wpo $\mathcal{W} = (W, \trianglelefteq)$ let $\text{WPO}(\mathcal{W})$ be an abbreviation of “ \mathcal{W} is a wpo” in the form $(\forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow W) (\exists i < j \in \mathbb{N}) (f(i) \trianglelefteq f(j))$. For any wpo $\mathcal{W} = (W, \trianglelefteq)$, $0 < d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq r \in \mathbb{Q}$, let $\text{SWP}(W, \trianglelefteq, d, r)$ be the corresponding first order

refinement of WPO (\mathcal{W})

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall K \in \mathbb{N}) (\exists M \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall x_0, \dots, x_M \in W) \\ & ((\forall i \leq M) (\#(x_i) \leq K + r \cdot \lceil \log_d(i+1) \rceil)) \rightarrow (\exists i < j \leq M) (x_i \trianglelefteq x_j) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 1. If $r < 1 \leq d$ then $\text{PA} \vdash \text{SWP}(\text{SEQ}^2, \trianglelefteq_d^1, d, r)$.

2. If $r > 1$ then $\text{PA} \not\vdash \text{SWP}(\text{SEQ}^2, \trianglelefteq_2^1, 2, r)$ and $\Delta_1^1 \text{CA} \not\vdash \text{SWP}(\text{SEQ}^3, \trianglelefteq_3^1, 3, r)$.

Theorem 4 For any computable $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and $I(x) := x$, the following holds.

1. If $f \prec^\infty I$ then $\forall k (\exists d > k) \text{PA} \vdash \text{SWP}(\text{SEQ}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^1, d, f(d))$.

2. If $I \prec^\infty f$ then:

$$(a) (\exists k) \text{ATR}_0 \not\vdash (\forall d > k) \text{SWP}(\text{SEQ}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^1, d, f(d)),$$

$$(b) \text{ATR} \vdash (\forall d > 0) \text{SWP}(\text{SEQ}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^1, d, f(d)).$$

Let $\rho(d) := \frac{1}{8}(-d + \sqrt{d^2 + 16})$ and $\ell(d) := -\log_{\rho(d)}(2)$. Note that $\ell(1) \approx .7369095552$, $\ell(2) \approx .5902344834$, while $\ell(d) \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. For brevity we also identify $\ell(1)$ with constant function $\ell(1) : \mathbb{N} \ni x \mapsto \ell(1) \in \mathbb{R}$. By $\text{ID}_{<\omega}^{(d)}$ we denote a formal system that is defined by recursion $\text{ID}_{<\omega}^{(1)} := \text{ID}_{<\omega}$, $\text{ID}_{<\omega}^{(d+1)} := \text{ID}_{<o(\text{ID}_{<\omega}^{(d)})}$. Note that $\text{ID}_{<\omega} = \Pi_1^1 \text{CA}_0$ and $\text{ID}_{<\omega}^{(d)} \rightarrow \Pi_1^1 \text{TR}_0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 5 For any $d > 1$ the following holds, where $\varphi_0(0) := 1$, $\varphi_1(0) := \omega$, $\varphi_2(0) := \varepsilon_0$, $\varphi_{d+1}(0) := \varphi(\varphi_d(0), 0)$; thus $\varphi_d(0) \rightarrow \Gamma_0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

1. If $r \leq \ell(d)$ then $\text{PA} \vdash \text{SWP}(\text{TS}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^2, 2, r)$.

2. If $r > \ell(d)$ then $\text{ID}_{<\varphi_d(0)} \not\vdash \text{SWP}(\text{TS}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^2, 2, r)$.

Theorem 6 For any $d \geq 0$ the following holds.

1. If $r \leq \ell(1)$ then $\text{PA} \vdash \text{SWP}(\text{TT}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^3, 2, r)$.

2. If $r > \ell(1)$ then $\text{ID}_{<\omega}^{(d+1)} \not\vdash \text{SWP}(\text{TT}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^2, 2, r)$.

Theorem 7 For any computable $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following holds.

1. If $f \prec^\infty \ell(1)$ then $\forall k (\exists d > k) \text{PA} \vdash \text{SWP}(\text{TT}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^3, 2, f(d))$.

2. If $\ell(1) \prec^\infty f$ then:

$$(a) (\exists k) \Pi_1^1 \text{TR}_0 \not\vdash (\forall d > k) \text{SWP}(\text{TT}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^3, 2, f(d)),$$

$$(b) \Pi_1^1 \text{TR} \vdash (\forall d > 0) \text{SWP}(\text{TT}^d, \trianglelefteq_d^3, 2, f(d)).$$